Autonomic nervous system abnormalities may predict cardiovascular changes after initiation of siponimod in the treatment of secondary progressive multiple sclerosis

Mario Habek^{1,2}, Luka Crnošija¹, Anamari Junaković¹, Ivan Adamec¹, Barbara Barun^{1,2}, Tereza Gabelić^{1,2}, Magdalena Krbot Skorić^{1,3}

¹ University Hospital Center Zagreb, Department of Neurology, Referral Center for Autonomic Nervous System Disorders, Zagreb, Croatia
 ² School of Medicine, University of Zagreb, Zagreb, Croatia
 ³ Faculty of Electrical Engineering, University of Zagreb, Zagreb, Croatia

Background and aims: Siponimod is a sphingosine-1-phosphate (S1P) receptor 1,5 modulator showing superiority over placebo in terms of preventing disability progression in people with secondary progressive multiple sclerosis (SPMS). The aim of this study was to identify whether autonomic nervous system (ANS) dysfunction identified prior to treatment initiation can predict siponimod related decrease in heart rate

| Parameter | Siponimod cohort (N=26) | |
|---|-------------------------|--|
| Clinical data | | |
| Age, years (mean ± SD) | 51.0±9.5 | |
| Sex, females (N, %) | 16 (61.5) | |
| EDSS (median, range) | 6.0 (3.0-6.5) | |
| Disease duration, years (mean ± SD) | 17.9±8.8 | |
| Active MRI in the previous year (N=20), (N, %) | 8 (40.0) | |
| Relapses in the previous year (N, %) | 11 (42.3) | |
| Treatment naïve (N, %) | 9 (34.6) | |
| Previous DMT (N, %)* | 17 (65.4) | |
| Interferons | 14 | |
| Fingolimod | 5 | |
| Glatiramer acetate | 2 | |
| Dimethyl fumarate | 1 | |
| Natalizumab | 2 | |
| CYP2C9 genotype (N, %) | | |
| 1/1 | 18 (69.2) | |
| 1/2 | 5 (19.2) | |
| 1/3 | 3 (11.5) | |
| Autonomic nervous system testing | | |
| Valsalva ratio (N=17) (mean ± SD) | 1.81±0.44 | |
| Respiratory sinus arrhythmia (N=21) (mean ± SD) | 13.10±4.80 | |
| Cardiovagal index (N=20) (median, range) | 0 (0-1) | |
| Orthostatic hypotension (N=23) (N, %) | 4 (17.4) | |
| Adrenergic index (N=23) (median, range) | 0 (0-3) | |
| HF, ms ² (median, range) | 174.01 (4.01-1913.30) | |
| LF, ms ² (mean ± SD) | 312.28±343.51 | |
| HFnu(mean ± SD) | 41.24±14.74 | |
| HF/LF (mean ± SD) | 1.89±1.61 | |
| SDDN, ms (mean ± SD) | 22.98±12.52 | |





(HR) after treatment initiation.

Design/Methods:

Patients

This study enrolled consecutive persons with the diagnosis of SPMS from November 2019 to July 2020 in the University Hospital Center Zagreb, Department of Neurology, who were eligible for treatment with siponimod based on local regulations. The diagnosis of SPMS was based on the Lublin et al. criteria. The following parameters were collected for each patient: age, sex, Expanded Disability Status Scale (EDSS), disease duration, MRI activity in the previous year defined as a new or enlarging T2 and/or gadolinium enhancing T1 lesion, relapse in the previous year, previous treatments and cytochrome P450 (CYP)2C9 genotyping. *ANS testing*

ANS testing was performed under standardized conditions: between 9:00AM and 01:00PM in a Laboratory for the ANS testing; the participants were connected to the Task Force Monitor (TFM)

(CNSystemsMedizintechnik AG, Austria); and 5 minutes of settling period was given before recording was initiated. The protocol afterwards consisted of 10-min supine resting position, Valsalva maneuver, deep breathing test, 10 min tilt-up table test, 5-min supine resting period, ingestion of siponimod, followed by a 180-min supine resting period recordings. During the whole examination, patients were asked to report any symptoms. Results of the ANS testing were interpreted in the form of cardiovagal and adrenergic indices, reflecting parasympathetic and sympathetic nervous system function, respectively. (Low, 1993) Cardiovagal index was calculated based on the results of the HR response to Valsalva maneuver and deep breathing test. Adrenergic index was calculated based on the results of BP response to the Valsalva maneuver and tilt-up table test. Data extracted from the TFM were used for heart rate variability (HRV) analysis. The following HRV parameters were used for analysis: highfrequency (HF) (0.15–0.4 Hz) and low frequency (LF) (0.04–0.15 Hz) power of RR intervals expressed in absolute units, HF expressed in normalized units (HFnu), low to high frequency ratio (LF/HF) and standard deviation of NN intervals (SDNN). For the purpose of further analysis, values for HR and BP (systolic (sBP) and diastolic (dBP)) were interpreted as an average value for the 10 minutes in the supine position prior to treatment initiation and average values for the 30-min intervals in the period of 3 hours after treatment initiation.

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of the cohort.

| | | inivariable linear reg | ression | | viultivariable linear re | gression |
|----------------------|---------|------------------------|---------|--------|--------------------------|----------|
| | В | 95% C.I. for B | p value | В | 95% C.I. for B | p value |
| ΔHR | | | | | | |
| Age | 0.066 | -0.134-0.266 | 0.502 | | | |
| Sex | 0.035 | -3.815-3.885 | 0.985 | | | |
| EDSS | 1.190 | -0.866-3.245 | 0.244 | | | |
| Disease duration | 0.261 | 0.072-0.449 | 0.009 | 0.283 | 0.128-0.438 | 0.001 |
| Orthostatic | 0.851 | -4.328-6.030 | 0.737 | | | |
| hypotension | | | | | | |
| Cardiovagal | -3.746 | -8.547-1.056 | 0.119 | | | |
| index | | | | | | |
| Adrenergic index | 0.071 | -1.617-1.759 | 0.931 | | | |
| HF _{sup} | 0.005 | 0.000-0.010 | 0.035 | | | |
| LF _{sup} | 0.005 | 0.000-0.010 | 0.065 | | | |
| HFnu _{sup} | -0.006 | -0.136-0.124 | 0.925 | | | |
| LF/HF _{sup} | 0.050 | -1.136-1.236 | 0.931 | | | |
| SDNN _{sup} | 0.172 | 0.037-0.306 | 0.014 | 0.188 | 0.080-0.297 | 0.002 |
| ΔsBP | 0.172 | 0.037 0.300 | 0.014 | 0.100 | 0.000 0.237 | 0.002 |
| Age | 0.091 | -0.311-0.493 | 0.645 | | | |
| Age Sex | -1.370 | -9.155-6.415 | 0.719 | | | |
| EDSS | -0.471 | -5.462-4.520 | 0.713 | | | |
| Disease duration | | | 0.063 | 0.301 | -0.125-0.728 | 0.157 |
| | | -0.024-0.826 | | 0.501 | -0.125-0.728 | 0.157 |
| Orthostatic | 2.385 | -7.998-12.768 | 0.639 | | | |
| hypotension | 6 5 6 7 | 15 022 2 600 | 0 1 5 2 | | | |
| Cardiovagal | -6.567 | -15.823-2.688 | 0.153 | | | |
| index | 0.400 | | 0.000 | | | |
| Adrenergic index | | -2.967-3.346 | 0.902 | | | |
| HF _{sup} | 0.002 | -0.009-0.012 | 0.756 | | | |
| LF _{sup} | 0.005 | -0.006-0.016 | 0.394 | | | |
| HFnu _{sup} | -0.247 | -0.4840.011 | 0.041 | -0.199 | -0.441-0.042 | 0.101 |
| LF/HF _{sup} | 1.516 | -0.771-3.803 | 0.183 | | | |
| SDNN _{sup} | 0.124 | -0.176-0.425 | 0.401 | | | |
| ΔdBP | | | | | | |
| Age | 0.100 | -0.197-0.396 | 0.494 | | | |
| Sex | -2.356 | -8.055-3.342 | 0.401 | | | |
| EDSS | -0.570 | -4.265-3.124 | 0.752 | | | |
| Disease duration | 0.364 | 0.063-0.666 | 0.020 | 0.297 | -0.008-0.602 | 0.055 |
| Orthostatic | 1.598 | -6.106-9.302 | 0.672 | | | |
| hypotension | | | | | | |
| Cardiovagal | -2.649 | -10.565-5.266 | 0.490 | | | |
| index | | | | | | |
| Adrenergic index | 0.305 | -2.389-3.000 | 0.815 | | | |
| HF _{sup} | 0.000 | -0.008-0.007 | 0.960 | | | |
| LF _{sup} | 0.001 | -0.007-0.010 | 0.733 | | | |
| HFnu _{sup} | -0.182 | -0.3570.006 | 0.043 | -0.134 | -0.307-0.038 | 0.121 |
| LF/HF _{sup} | 1.280 | -0.395-2.955 | 0.128 | | | |
| SDNN _{sup} | 0.024 | -0.202-0.251 | 0.825 | | | |

Results: Baseline characteristics of the cohort are presented in Table 1. After treatment initiation, there was a statistically significant drop in HR (71.1±9.2 sup, 66.3±8.1 3rd-6th, p<0.001) and elevation of sBP (113.0±12.4 sup, 117.1±10.8 3rd-6th, p=0.04). Values of the dBP followed similar trend as for sBP, however not reaching statistically significance (72.8±9.6 sup, 74.9±8.4 3rd-6th, p=0.13). There were no extreme fluctuations of HR and BP in individual patients. None of the patients reported any symptoms during the testing. There was a statistically significant correlation between SDNN before treatment initiation and Δ HR (rp=0.474, p=0.014). Results of the univariable and multivariable linear regression analyses are presented in Table 2. Disease duration and SDNNsup were identified as predictors for Δ HR, where higher SDNN and longer disease duration were predictive of smaller Δ HR.

Table 2. Results of the univariable and multivariable linear regression model. Due to the number of participants, only 2 variables with the lowest p value were included in the multivariable logistic regression model.

Conclusion: ANS abnormalities may be predictive of cardiovascular abnormalities associated with treatment initiation with siponimod. A larger study is needed to confirm these observations.