Title: Comparative Efficacy of Relapsing Multiple Sclerosis Therapies: A Model-Based Meta-Analysis for Annualized Relapse Rate

Short title: Comparative Efficacy of Relapsing MS Therapies

Authors: ¹M. Zierhut, ²H. Kracker, ²T. Scherz, ³A. Keenan, ²B. Hennessy

Affiliations: ¹Janssen Research & Development, San Diego, CA, United States of America,

²Actelion Pharmaceuticals Ltd, Part of Janssen Pharmaceutical Companies, Allschwil,

Switzerland, ³Janssen Research & Development, LLC, Spring House, United States of

America

Corresponding Author:

Alexander Keenan

Global Market Access Leader, Schizophrenia and Neurodegeneration

Janssen Research & Development, LLC

Spring House, Pennsylvania

E-mail: <u>AKeenan1@its.jnj.com</u>

Funding: This work was sponsored by Janssen Research & Development, LLC.

Previous submission: A/ECTRIMS 2020, September 9-12, 2020, Washington DC

Abstract (300/300 words)

Background: Multiple sclerosis (MS), an inflammatory autoimmune disorder, is responsible for progressive neurological disability among adults. Ponesimod is a selective sphingosine-1phosphate (S1P) receptor 1 immunomodulator under development for relapsing multiple sclerosis (RMS).

Objectives: To assess effects of ponesimod on the annualized relapse rate (ARR) relative to other disease-modifying therapies (DMTs) used for treatment of RMS.

Methods: A literature review was performed, returning 154 clinical trials with 58 MS treatments. Only randomized controlled trials (RCTs) with >30 patients receiving monotherapy for RMS for ≥48 weeks were included. Mean ARR for each treatment was modeled and rate ratios (RRs) between treatments were assumed constant from 48-156 weeks. Multiple variables were explored relative treatment effect modifiers: percent of patients with remitting RMS, trial start year, mean disease duration, percent of patients with history of DMT use in<2 years (pDMT), mean relapses, mean age, and mean baseline EDSS score.

Results: Mean ARR data from 41 RCTs in RMS were utilized (18 unique treatments [including placebo], 106 treatment arms, 33,904 patients). Rate ratios were estimated for 17 treatments vs. placebo. A dose-response relationship was included if data at multiple doses were available and indicated a dose-dependent effect (8 treatments). Relative treatment effect was smaller in trials with higher pDMT. In addition to superiority of ponesimod vs. teriflunomide, ponesimod reduced ARR significantly compared to placebo (RR: 0.47; 95%CI: 0.32–0.68), interferon β -1a (intramuscular, 0.57; 0.39–0.85), laquinimod (0.58; 0.38–0.88), and interferon β -1b (0.65; 0.44–0.97). Ponesimod had numerically superior ARR benefits than interferon β -1a (subcutaneous), peginterferon β -1a, glatiramer acetate, and dimethyl fumarate (RR range: 0.68–0.94). Ponesimod had similar ARR benefits to S1P receptor modulators ozanimod, fingolimod, cladribine, and daclizumab (RR range: 1.00-1.04).

Conclusions: Ponesimod reduced ARR in RMS patients as compared with placebo, teriflunomide 14 mg, interferon β -1a (intramuscular), laquinimod, and interferon β -1b.

Keywords: Ponesimod, Biostatistical Methods, Clinical Outcome Measures, Machine Learning/Network Science, Annualized Relapse Rate