

Effect of oral ponesimod on clinical disease activity and MRI-based outcomes in patients with relapsing multiple sclerosis: Phase 3 OPTIMUM study

Ludwig Kappos^{1*}, Michel Burcklen², Mark S Freedman³, Robert Fox⁴, Eva Kubala Havrdová⁵, Brian Hennessy², Reinhard Hohlfeld⁶, Fred Lublin⁷, Xavier Montalban⁸, Carlo Pozzilli⁹, Tatiana Scherz², Philippe Linscheid², Magdalena Pirozek-Lawniczek², Lisa Ford¹⁰, Hilke Kracker², Jens Wuerfel¹¹, Till Sprenger^{1,12}

****Presenting Author***

¹ Neurologic Clinic and Policlinic, Departments of Medicine, Clinical Research, Biomedicine and Biomedical Engineering University Hospital Basel, University of Basel, Switzerland

² Actelion Pharmaceuticals, Part of Janssen Pharmaceutical Companies of Johnson & Johnson, Allschwil, Switzerland

³ University of Ottawa and The Ottawa Hospital Research Institute, Ottawa, ON, Canada

⁴ Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland, OH, USA

⁵ Department of Neurology, First Medical Faculty, Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic

⁶ Institute of Clinical Neuroimmunology, Ludwig Maximilians University Munich, Munich, Germany

⁷ The Corinne Goldsmith Dickinson Center for Multiple Sclerosis, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY, USA

⁸ Barcelona's (Department of Neurology-Neuroimmunology, Centre d'Esclerosi Múltiple de Catalunya [Cemcat]), Hospital Universitari Vall d'Hebron, Barcelona, Spain

⁹ S. Andrea MS Centre, Sapienza University of Rome, Rome, Italy

¹⁰ Janssen Research & Development, LLC, New Jersey USA

¹¹ MIAC AG, Basel, Switzerland; Quantitative biomedical imaging group (qbig), Dep. Biomedical Engineering, University Basel, Switzerland; NeuroCure, Charité University Medicine Berlin, Germany

¹² DKD Helios Klinik Wiesbaden, Wiesbaden, Germany

ABSTRACT (300/300 words)

Background: In the phase-3 OPTIMUM study (NCT02425644), ponesimod (PON), a selective modulator of sphingosine-1-phosphate receptor 1, showed superior efficacy vs teriflunomide (TER) in patients with relapsing multiple sclerosis (RMS). Prespecified MRI-based endpoints and no evidence of disease activity (NEDA) status were evaluated.

Methods: Patients (18-55 years) with RMS (expanded disability status scale scores: 0-5.5) were randomized (1:1) to PON 20-mg or TER 14-mg for 108 weeks. MRI endpoints: percentage change from baseline to week 108 in brain volume (BV), mean number of new gadolinium-enhancing (Gd+) T1-lesions and volume/count of new/enlarging T2-weighted (T2)-lesions. NEDA-3 (absence of confirmed relapse, 12-week confirmed disability accumulation, Gd+T1 and new/enlarging T2-lesions on annual MRIs) and NEDA-4 status (NEDA-3 and no average annual BV decrease $\geq 0.4\%$) were evaluated from baseline to week-108.

Results: 985/1133 (86.9%) randomized patients completed the study. MRI findings for PON vs TER, respectively, were: LS mean percent change in BV: -0.91% vs -1.25% (difference: 0.34% , 95%-CLs: $0.17; 0.50$, $p < 0.0001$); LS mean difference (PON-TER) for change in total T2-lesion load: -399.2 mm^3 (95% CLs: $-651.5; -146.8$, $p = 0.002$); mean number of new/enlarging T2-lesions per year: 1.40 vs 3.16 (rate ratio [RR]: 0.44 , 95%-CLs: $0.36; 0.54$, $p < 0.0001$); PON vs TER odds ratio (OR [95%-CL]) for absence of new/enlarging T2-lesions: 1.71 (1.30; 2.25, $p = 0.0001$); mean number of new Gd+T1-lesions per scan: 0.18 vs 0.43 (RR: 0.42 , 95%-CLs: $0.31; 0.56$, $p < 0.0001$); PON vs TER (OR [95%-CL]) for absence of new Gd+T1-lesions: 2.18 (1.61; 2.95, $p < 0.0001$). At week 108, 28.2% (159/564) PON vs 18.3% (102/558) TER patients (OR: 1.70, 95%-CL: $1.27; 2.28$, $p = 0.0004$) achieved NEDA-3; 15.0% (79/526) PON vs 8.5% (45/532) TER

patients (OR:1.85, 95%-CL:1.24;2.76, p=0.0026) achieved NEDA-4. The most common reason for not achieving NEDA-3/ NEDA-4 status was presence of new/enlarging T2-lesions.

Conclusions: PON showed benefit vs TER for all MRI outcomes including BV loss and a significantly higher proportion of patients achieved NEDA-3 and NEDA-4 status, supporting the effects observed on clinical endpoints.

Previous presentation: The poster was previously presented at the 8th joint ACTRIMS - ECTRIMS Meeting, (MSVirtual 2020), September 11-13, 2020.