

Long-term Safety and Efficacy of Ozanimod in RMS: Interim Analysis of DAYBREAK

Short title: Ozanimod: Long-term Safety & Efficacy in RMS

Krzysztof W. Selmaj,^{1*} Lawrence Steinman,² Giancarlo Comi,³ Amit Bar-Or,⁴ Douglas L. Arnold,⁵ Hans-Peter Hartung,⁶ Xavier Montalbán,⁷ Eva K. Havrdová,⁸ Jenna Hoogerheyde,⁹ Sonia Afsari,⁹ James K. Sheffield,⁹ Fei Shi,⁹ Neil Minton,⁹ Diego Silva,⁹ Ludwig Kappos,¹⁰ Jeffrey A. Cohen,¹¹ Bruce A. C. Cree¹²

*Main author

¹Center for Neurology, Łódź, Poland and Collegium Medicum, University of Warmia and Mazury, Olsztyn, Poland; ²Beckman Center for Molecular Medicine, Stanford University Medical Center, Stanford, California, USA; ³Vita-Salute San Raffaele University, Milan, Italy; ⁴Center for Neuroinflammation and Experimental Therapeutics, Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA; ⁵NeuroRx Research and Montréal Neurological Institute, McGill University, Montreal, QC, Canada; ⁶Heinrich-Heine University, Düsseldorf, Germany; Brain and Mind Centre, University of Sydney, Sydney, Australia; Medical University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria; Palacky University Olomouc, Olomouc, Czech Republic; ⁷Centre d'Esclerosi Múltiple de Catalunya (Cemcat), Hospital Universitario Vall d'Hebron, Barcelona, Spain; ⁸Center for Clinical Neuroscience, Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic; ⁹Bristol Myers Squibb, Princeton, New Jersey, USA; ¹⁰Research Center for Clinical Neuroimmunology and Neuroscience Basel (RC2NB), Departments of Head, Spine, and Neuromedicine, Clinical Research, Biomedicine, and Biomedical Engineering, University Hospital and University of Basel, Basel, Switzerland; ¹¹Mellen Center for MS Treatment and Research, Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland, Ohio, USA; ¹²Weill Institute for Neurosciences, Department of Neurology, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, California, USA

Introduction:

Ozanimod is approved in multiple countries for the treatment of adults with relapsing forms of multiple sclerosis (RMS). We report safety and efficacy of ozanimod from an ongoing open-label extension trial.

Methods:

Patients with RMS who completed phase 1–3 ozanimod trials were eligible to enrol in DAYBREAK (NCT02576717), where they received ozanimod 0.92 mg/d. The primary objective was to evaluate safety in the overall population; treatment-emergent adverse events (TEAE) were monitored. Efficacy was evaluated with annualised relapse rate (ARR), calculated via negative binomial regression. Number of new/enlarging T2 and gadolinium-enhancing (GdE) MRI brain lesions were reported only for patients who entered DAYBREAK from an active-controlled phase 3 trial.

Results:

In total, 2639 patients completed the parent trials; this interim analysis (data cutoff February 2021) included 2494 patients with mean (range) ozanimod exposure of 46.8 (0.03–62.7) months (9725.6 patient-years) in DAYBREAK. Adjusted ARR was 0.103 (95% CI, 0.086–0.123). At 48 months, 71% of patients were relapse free. Rates of 3- and 6-month confirmed disability progression were low (13.9% and 11.4%, respectively). Adjusted mean number of new/enlarging T2 lesions/scan at 48 months was similar, regardless of parent-trial treatment group (range, 0.85–1.03), as were adjusted mean number of GdE lesions at month 48 (range, 0.06–0.12). In DAYBREAK, 2143 patients (85.9%) had any TEAE, 298 (11.9%) had a serious TEAE, and 75 (3.0%) discontinued due to a TEAE. The most common TEAEs were nasopharyngitis (19.6%), headache (15.8%), and upper respiratory tract infection (11.1%). There were no serious opportunistic infections at the time of this data cut; however, a case of progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy was reported in March 2021.

Conclusions:

In DAYBREAK, ozanimod treatment demonstrated sustained efficacy with low ARR, new/enlarging T2 and GdE lesion counts, and disability progression over 4 years. Long-term ozanimod was generally safe and well tolerated.

Presented at ECTRIMS 2021.

Funding: This study was supported by Celgene International II.

Disclosures:

KWS: consulting for Biogen, Celgene, Genzyme, Merck, Novartis, Ono Pharma, Roche, Synthon, and Teva.

LS: consulting for AbbVie, Atreca, Celgene, Novartis, Teva, Tolerion, and EMD Serono, and research support from Atara, Biogen, and Celgene.

GC: compensation for consulting and/or speaking activities from Almirall, Biogen, Celgene, EXCEMED, Forward Pharma, Genzyme, Merck, Novartis, Roche, Sanofi, and Teva.

ABO: participated as a speaker in meetings sponsored by and received consulting fees and/or grant support from: Atara Biotherapeutics, Biogen, BMS-Celgene, EMD Serono, Sanofi Genzyme, Novartis, and Roche-Genentech.

DLA: personal fees for consulting and/or grants from Albert Charitable Trust, Biogen, Celgene, F. Hoffmann-La Roche, Frequency Therapeutics, MedDay, Merck Serono, Novartis, Population Council, and Sanofi-Aventis; grants from Biogen, Immunotec, and Novartis; and an equity interest in NeuroRx Research.

HPH: personal fees for consulting, serving on steering committees, and speaking from Bayer Healthcare, Biogen, Celgene, GeNeuro, Genzyme, Merck, MedImmune, Novartis, Octapharma, Roche, Sanofi, and Teva.

XM: received speaking honoraria and travel expenses for participation in scientific meetings, has been a steering committee member of clinical trials or participated in advisory boards of clinical trials in the past 3 years with Actelion, Alexion, Bayer, Biogen, Bristol Myers Squibb/Celgene, EMD Serono, EXCEMED, Genzyme, Hoffmann-La Roche, Immunix, Janssen Pharmaceuticals, MedDay, Merck, Mylan, MSIF, Nervgen, NMSS, Novartis, Roche, Sanofi-Genzyme, Teva Pharmaceuticals, and TG Therapeutics.

EKH: personal compensation for consulting and speaking for Actelion, Biogen, Celgene Corporation, Merck, Novartis, Roche, Sanofi, and Teva, and is supported by Czech Ministry of Education, project PROGRES Q27/LF1.

JH, SA, JKS, FS, NM, DS: employees and shareholders of Bristol Myers Squibb.

LK: institutional research support: steering committee, advisory board, and consultancy fees: Actelion, Bayer HealthCare, Biogen, Bristol Myers Squibb, Genzyme, Janssen, Japan Tobacco, Merck, Novartis, Roche, Sanofi, Santhera, Shionogi, and TG Therapeutics; speaker fees: Bayer HealthCare, Biogen, Merck, Novartis, Roche, and Sanofi; support of educational activities: Allergan, Bayer HealthCare, Biogen, CSL Behring, Desitin, Genzyme, Merck, Novartis, Roche, Pfizer, Sanofi, Shire, and Teva; license fees for Neurostatus products; and grants: Bayer HealthCare, Biogen, European Union, Innosuisse, Merck, Novartis, Roche, Swiss MS Society, and Swiss National Research Foundation.

JAC: personal compensation for consulting for Adamas, Atara, Bristol Myers Squibb, Convelo, MedDay, and Mylan; and serving as an Editor of *Multiple Sclerosis Journal*.

BACC: personal compensation for consulting for Alexion, Atara, Autobahn, Avotres, Biogen, EMD Serono, Novartis, Sanofi, TG Therapeutics, and Therini, and received grant support from Genentech.