

# **Comparing humoral immune response to SARS-CoV2 vaccines in multiple sclerosis and healthy controls: an Austrian multi-center study**

Gabriel Bsteh<sup>1</sup>, Harald Hegen<sup>2</sup>, Gerhard Traxler<sup>3</sup>, Nik Krajnc<sup>1</sup>, Fritz Leutmezer<sup>1</sup>, Franziska Di Pauli<sup>2</sup>, Barbara Kornek<sup>1</sup>, Paulus Rommer<sup>1</sup>, Gudrun Zulehner<sup>1</sup>, Sophie Dürauer<sup>4</sup>, Angelika Bauer<sup>2</sup>, Sarah Kratzwald<sup>4</sup>, Sigrid Klotz<sup>4</sup>, Michael Winklehner<sup>4</sup>, Florian Deisenhammer<sup>2</sup>, Michael Guger<sup>3</sup>, Romana Höftberger<sup>4</sup>, and Thomas Berger<sup>1</sup>

### Background

Disease-modifying treatments (DMT) might limit

## Objective

To compare rate of humoral immune response and safety of

immune response to vaccination against SARS-CoV2. However, data informing on differences in efficacy and safety of available vaccines in MS patients are scarce.

## Patients and Methods

SARS-CoV-2 vaccines in pwMS and healthy controls (HC).

In this multicenter prospective observational study on 456 pwMS and 116 HC, SARS-CoV-2 IgG response was measured using anti-spike protein-based serology 3 months after the first dose. The primary endpoint was defined as the proportion of patients developing protective antibodies (seroconversion), secondary endpoints included antibody titer, efficacy and safety parameters.

### Results

Compared to 97.4% in HC, seroconversion occurred in 96.7% (88/91) of untreated MS patients, 97.1% (135/139) on immunomodulatory (IM-DMT) and 61.1% (138/226, p<0.001) on immunosuppressive DMT (IS-DMT, Figure 1). Specifically, seroconversion was lowest under antiCD20 monoclonal antibodies (CD20mAb; 52.6%) followed by sphingosine 1 receptor Figure 1. Seropositivity and antibody titer levels 3 months after SARS-CoV2 vaccination according to DMT categories.



modulators (S1PM; 63.6%, Figure 2).

Predictors of seroconversion were IS-DMT (OR 0.04; p<0.001), CD20 mAb (OR 0.03, p<0.001), S1PM (OR 0.05, p<0.001) and the combined group of cladribine and alemtuzumab (OR 0.18, p<0.001).

In the S1PM subgroup likelihood of seroconversion increased with higher lymphocyte count (OR 1.31 per 0.1 G/l, p=0.035), while in patients on cladribine/alemtuzumab seroconversion was associated with time since last DMT intake (OR 1.38 per month) but not with lymphocyte count.

In patients treated with CD20mAb, complete Bcell depletion significantly decreased probability of seroconversion (OR 0.52, p=0.038), whereas time since last DMT intake was not.

Safety of SARS-CoV-2 vaccines in MS patients was excellent and similar to HC.

Figure 2. Seropositivity and antibody titer levels 3 months after SARS-CoV2 vaccination according to DMT substances.



HC

N-DMT

IM-DMT

**IS-DMT** 

Figure 3. Frequency of adverse events and severe adverse events 3 months after SARS-CoV2 vaccination

- Efficacy 3 Months after vaccination
- 3 reported Covid-19

#### Conclusion

50**-**

# Humoral response to SARS-CoV2 vaccines in MS patients is generally excellent.

While reduced by immunosuppressive DMT, most importantly by B-cell depleting **CD20mAb** and **S1PM**, protective **humoral response** is still expected in the **majority of patients**. **SARS-CoV2 vaccination should be offered to every MS patient**.



**Cases** 1 HC: mild symptoms 1 No DMT: asymptomatic course 1 IS-DMT: mild symptoms

- No hospitalization
- No death

#### **Funding and disclosures**

<sup>1</sup>Department of Neurology, Medical University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria <sup>2</sup>Department of Neurology, Medical University of Innsbruck, Innsbruck, Austria <sup>3</sup>Department of Neurology 2, Med Campus III, Kepler University Hospital GmbH, Linz, Austria <sup>4</sup>Division of Neuropathology and Neurochemistry, Department of Neurology, Medical University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria This study was funded by Austrian Multiple Sclerosis Society and Medical Scientific Fund of the Mayor of the City of Vienna.

Gabriel Bsteh has participated in meetings sponsored by, received speaker honoraria or travel funding from Biogen, Celgene, Lilly, Merck, Novartis, Roche, Sanofi-Genzyme and Teva, and received honoraria for consulting Biogen, Celgene, Roche and Teva.